

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vergina 22313-1450.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/524,928	03/14/2000		Keith Ainsley	0132-005	8974
75	90	07/01/2003			
Robert G Lev		_	EXAMINER		
4766 Michigan Boulevard Youngstown, OH 44505				WARE, TODD	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1615	2
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	•	09/524,928	AINSLEY, KEITH
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Todd D Ware	1615
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for			
THE - External after - If the results of the result	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Sources to a cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	A <i>pril 2003</i> .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fin	al.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under		
•	ion of Claims		
4)	Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 15-19</u> is/are pending in the a	•	tion
· E/	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	iion.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.		
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirem	·
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirem	ient.
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		d to by the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approve	b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office acti	on.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ed in Application No
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bui See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applicatio	n has been received.
Attachmen		a miletaly diladi de	
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/524,928

Art Unit: 1615

### DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of amendments filed 3-25-03 and 4-3-03 is acknowledged. In view of the new position taken by Supervisory Examiners, prosecution is continued and the Notice of Allowability mailed 4-14-03 is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed product occurs naturally and products of nature are not patentable. It is the position of the Examiner that a product consisting of urine obtained from two female white-tailed deer in estrus has not been modified from that which occurs in nature. It is noted that Applicant states in the 37 C.F.R. 1.132 Declaration filed 10-4-02 that female deer, including those in estrus, travel in relatively large groups of three and most often more. Applicant further declares that the use of the same scrape by two does in estrus does not occur in nature due to a large number of factors pertaining to the mating habits of animals in the deer family and that this knowledge is considered common among those dealing extensively and having expertise with the deer family. However, in the 37 C.F.R. 1.132 Declaration filed by Applicant July 11, 2001, Applicant states, "That during the observation period five does were observed to adopt buck-like behavior in that they pawed the mock scrape baited with 'Doc's Double Doe', and urinated further on it" when

Application/Control Number: 09/524,928

Art Unit: 1615

referring to the test performed to determine effectiveness of the claimed formulation. This appears to contradict Applicant's statements that two does in estrus do not use the same scrape in nature. Furthermore, the prior art (US 4,773,177 and US 4,667,430) appears to recognize that multiple female deer co-habitate within an area and that the scrapes are made in trails heavily used by female deer. Accordingly, Applicant's previous statements that the use of the same scrape by two does in estrus does not occur in nature is not found persuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collora et al (5,896,692; hereafter '692) **OR** Bell (5,672,342; hereafter '342).
- 5. '692 teaches a process for obtaining a scent lure for animals such as white tail deer, comprising animal urine wherein the urine is collected from more than one animal in estrus (abstract; C 2, L 1-30; claims). The urine collected is from animals in estrus or animals in rut and is collected using a urine-gathering stall. '692 does not teach limiting the collection of urine to two animals nor does it teach each of the specific steps as claimed.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/524,928

Art Unit: 1615

- 6. '342 also teaches a process for obtaining a scent lure for deer where the urine is collected from one female deer in estrus with a urine-gathering stall. It is submitted that an animal scent attractant wherein the urine is obtained from one animal would not attract an animal differently from one wherein the urine is obtained from two animals. Stated differently, absent a demonstration of criticality, it is submitted that urine collected from two animals is not critical over urine collected from one animal. It is also noted that '342 also does not teach limiting the collection of urine to two animals nor does it teach each of the specific steps as claimed.
- 7. The steps not specifically set forth in either '692 or '342 do not appear to be critical in view of the 37 C.F.R. 1.132 Declaration filed by Applicant July 11, 2001. Therein, Applicant declares that multiple deer, including female deer, urinate further on the scrape treated with the formulation obtained according to the instant process. Such a scrape is no longer limited to containing urine from two female deer in estrus and assessment of whether the deer are approaching the scrape on the basis of treatment with urine from two female deer in estrus can not be determined since the scrape contains urine from additional deer.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/524,928

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

tw June 23, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600